

JOHN ENGLER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION LANSING

GREGORY J. ROSINE

March 27, 2001

Mr. Craig Thiel Senate Fiscal Agency P.O. Box 30036 Lansing, MI 48909-7536

Dear Mr. Thiel:

Subject:

Section 401 of the Fiscal Year 2002 Department of Transportation Appropriations

Bill

We are pleased to present you with a summary of Michigan's 2002 federal funds and the proposed distribution to state and local programs. Section 401 of the fiscal year (FY) 2002 Department of Transportation Appropriations Act requires the department to "present to the senate and house of representatives appropriations transportation subcommittees and the senate and house fiscal agencies, the federal amounts and categories authorized and the department's recommendation for distribution of these funds." This letter and enclosures are submitted to you in compliance with Section 401.

The Michigan Department of Transportation was notified of the distribution of Michigan's FY 2002 funding by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) on February 27, 2002. A calculation error by the FHWA held up notification longer than usual this year. As in the past we have sought input from the Michigan Municipal League and the County Road Association of Michigan in developing the distribution of the local share of the funds. Local agencies have already been notified of their approximate level of funding and encouraged to continue their programs without delay.



JOHN ENGLER GOVERNOR GREGORY J. ROSINE
DIRECTOR

March 27, 2001

Mr. William Hamilton House Fiscal Agency P.O. Box 30014 Lansing, MI 48909-7514

Dear Mr. Hamilton

Subject:

Section 401 of the Fiscal Year 2002 Department of Transportation Appropriations

Bill

We are pleased to present you with a summary of Michigan's 2002 federal funds and the proposed distribution to state and local programs. Section 401 of the fiscal year (FY) 2002 Department of Transportation Appropriations Act requires the department to "present to the senate and house of representatives appropriations transportation subcommittees and the senate and house fiscal agencies, the federal amounts and categories authorized and the department's recommendation for distribution of these funds." This letter and enclosures are submitted to you in compliance with Section 401.

The Michigan Department of Transportation was notified of the distribution of Michigan's FY 2002 funding by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) on February 27, 2002. A calculation error by the FHWA held up notification longer than usual this year. As in the past we have sought input from the Michigan Municipal League and the County Road Association of Michigan in developing the distribution of the local share of the funds. Local agencies have already been notified of their approximate level of funding and encouraged to continue their programs without delay.



JOHN ENGLER DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
GOVERNOR LANSING

GREGORY J. ROSINE

March 27, 2002

House Appropriations Transportation Subcommittee c/o Ms. Mary Lou Terrien, Committee Clerk P.O. Box 30014
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Ms. Terrien:

Subject:

Section 401 of the Fiscal Year 2002 Department of Transportation Appropriations

Bill

We are pleased to present you with a summary of Michigan's 2002 federal funds and the proposed distribution to state and local programs. Section 401 of the fiscal year (FY) 2002 Department of Transportation Appropriations Act requires the department to "present to the senate and house of representatives appropriations transportation subcommittees and the senate and house fiscal agencies, the federal amounts and categories authorized and the department's recommendation for distribution of these funds." This letter and enclosures are submitted to you in compliance with Section 401.

The Michigan Department of Transportation was notified of the distribution of Michigan's FY 2002 funding by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) on February 27, 2002. A calculation error by the FHWA held up notification longer than usual this year. As in the past we have sought input from the Michigan Municipal League and the County Road Association of Michigan in developing the distribution of the local share of the funds. Local agencies have already been notified of their approximate level of funding and encouraged to continue their programs without delay.



JOHN ENGLER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION LANSING

GREGORY J. ROSINE

March 27, 2002

Michigan Senate Appropriations Transportation Subcommittee The Honorable Philip Hoffman Michigan State Senate P.O. Box 30014 Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Senator Hoffman:

Subject:

Section 401 of the Fiscal Year 2002 Department of Transportation Appropriations

Bill

We are pleased to present you with a summary of Michigan's 2002 federal funds and the proposed distribution to state and local programs. Section 401 of the fiscal year (FY) 2002 Department of Transportation Appropriations Act requires the department to "present to the senate and house of representatives appropriations transportation subcommittees and the senate and house fiscal agencies, the federal amounts and categories authorized and the department's recommendation for distribution of these funds." This letter and enclosures are submitted to you in compliance with Section 401.

The Michigan Department of Transportation was notified of the distribution of Michigan's FY 2002 funding by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) on February 27, 2002. A calculation error by the FHWA held up notification longer than usual this year. As in the past we have sought input from the Michigan Municipal League and the County Road Association of Michigan in developing the distribution of the local share of the funds. Local agencies have already been notified of their approximate level of funding and encouraged to continue their programs without delay.

The Honorable Philip Hoffman Michigan Senate Appropriations Transportation Subcommittee Page 2 March 27, 2002

Thank you for this opportunity to share our recommended distribution of FY 2002 Michigan federal aid to highways. We are looking forward to working closely with our federal and local partners to provide the citizens of Michigan with another successful highway program. If you have any questions regarding the FY 2002 program, please call either me or Louis Lambert, Deputy Director, Bureau of Transportation Planning at (517) 373-0343.

Gregory J. Rosine

Director

Enclosures

BTP:STP:GJR:MK:

SUMMARY OF FY 2002 **TOTAL** FEDERAL-AID APPORTIONMENTS AND OBLIGATION AUTHORITY BY FEDERAL-AID CATEGORY

Program	Fiscal 2002 Apportionments	Obligation Authority ⁽¹⁾
Interstate Maintenance (IM)	\$162,476,669	\$147,846,299
National Highway System (NHS)	\$196,173,745	\$178,509,088
Surface Transportation Program (STP)	\$275,290,662	\$250,497,251
Minimum Guarantee (MG)	\$105,206,352	\$104,661,631
Bridge (BR)	\$136,219,886	\$123,953,833
Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality (CMAQ)	\$39,573,320	\$36,009,901
State Planning & Research (SPR)	\$18,672,155	\$16,990,803
Metro Planning (PL)	\$6,857,398	\$6,239,917
High Priority Projects (HPP)	\$58,493,400	\$52,878,034
Recreational Trails (RT)	\$1,484,657	\$1,350,970
TOTAL (2)	\$1,000,448,244	\$918,937,727

⁽¹⁾ Except for High Priority Projects and some Minimum Guarantee funds, the obligation limit applies to the total, not to individual federal-aid categories. The assignment of obligation authority by associated apportionment is made primarily to determine the total to be made available to MDOT and local programs.

⁽²⁾ The total does not include mid-year allocations and apportionments.

SUMMARY OF FY 2002 MOOT FEDERAL-AID APPORTIONMENTS AND OBLIGATION AUTHORITY BY FEDERAL-AID CATEGORY

Program	Fiscal 2002 Apportionments	Obligation Authority (1)
Interstate Maintenance (IM)	\$162,476,669	\$147,846,299
National Highway System (NHS)	\$196,173,745	\$178,509,089
Surface Transportation Program (STP)	\$117,185,579	\$106,628,893
Minimum Guarantee (MG)	\$72,066,351	\$71,521,630
Bridge (BR)	\$115,786,903	\$105,360,758
Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality (CMAQ) (2)	\$19,786,660	\$18,004,951
State Planning & Research (SPR)	\$18,672,155	\$16,990,803
High Priority Projects (HPP)	\$40,108,050	\$36,257,677
Recreational Trails (RT)	\$1,484,657	\$1,350,970
TOTAL (3)	\$743,740,769	\$682,471,070

⁽¹⁾ Except for High Priority Projects and some Minimum Guarantee funds, the obligation limit applies to the MDOT program as a whole, not individual federal-aid categories. The assignment of obligation authority by associated apportionment is made primarily to determine the total to be made available to MDOT and local programs. MDOT obligation authority is not exactly 75 percent of the total because some programs are independent of the mandatory 75/25 calculation. The actual percentage will vary from year to year.

⁽²⁾ This amount is initially estimated at 50 percent of apportionments.

⁽³⁾ The total does not include mid-year allocations and apportionments, which are outside the 75/25 calculation. In addition, the total does not reflect local agency federal-aid-buyout agreements.

SUMMARY OF FY 2002 LOCAL FEDERAL-AID APPORTIONMENTS AND OBLIGATION AUTHORITY BY PROGRAM

Program	FY 2002 Apportionments	Obligation Authority ⁽¹⁾
TMA Program (STP)	\$73,870,304	\$67,218,580
Small MPO Program (STP)	\$20,049,284	\$18,243,927
Small Urban Program (STP)	\$10,047,086	\$9,142,386
Rural (STP)	\$27,772,378	\$25,271,587
SPA & LTAP (2) (STP)	\$1,171,628	\$1,066,128
TEDF Category C (MG)	\$15,780,953	\$15,780,953
TEDF Category D (MG)	\$17,359,048	\$17,359,048
Bridge Program (BR)	\$20,432,983	\$18,593,075
Metro. Planning (PL)	\$6,857,398	\$6,239,917
Safety (STP)	\$11,430,122	\$10,400,886
CMAQ (3)	\$19,786,660	\$18,004,951
Enhancement (STP) (3)	\$13,764,281	\$12,524,863
High Priority Projects (HPP)	\$18,385,350	\$16,620,356
TOTAL (3)	\$256,707,475	\$236,466,657

- These amounts are based on a proportional share of the applicable obligation ceilings. Except for High Priority Projects and the TEDF programs, the obligation limit applies to the local programs as a group, not individually. Local agencies are encouraged to base their programs on apportionments and submit projects early in the fiscal year to ensure that obligation authority is still available. The assignment of obligation authority by associated apportionment is made primarily to determine the total to be made available to MDOT and local programs. Local obligation authority is not exactly 25 percent of the total because some programs are independent of the mandatory 75/25 calculation. The actual percentage will vary from year to year.
- SPA is the State Park Access Program, which receives \$500,000 annually. LTAP is the Local Technical Assistance Program, which received \$671,628 in fiscal 2002.
- (3) This amount is initially estimated at 50 percent of apportionments.
- (4) The total does not include mid-year allocations and apportionments, which are outside the 75/25 calculation. In addition, the total does not reflect local agency federal-aid-buyout agreements.